

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/810,680	KAPLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel F. Hajnik	2628	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Non-final

 (1) Daniel F. Hajnik (Examiner).

(3) _____.

 (2) Grant Tisdall (Applicant's Representative).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 October 2006
Time: 12:00
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

43 and 57

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed upon proposed examiner's amendment to put case in condition for allowance
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)